



Pensions In Partnership



Feb 2018

IN THIS ISSUE:

- Employer contribution rates
- Our responsive website
- What do you think about PIP?
- Zipping attachments
- Pensions Fora
- Forms
- For reference

Employer contribution rates

The rates advised in your schedule of results from the 2017 actuarial valuation are payable from 1 April 2018. The actuary will shortly sign off the valuation. After that rates cannot be changed. **If there are any final queries on the results, we need them NOW.**

We will issue employee contribution rates for 2018/19 as soon as we have them (**most likely mid March**).

Our responsive website

We have made our website responsive, so that it takes account of the device being used to access it and presents accordingly.

This means that you (using a PC at work) will not be looking at the same view as someone using a mobile / tablet to view the same page on our website, although the page's written content will be the same.

Returning to the homepage will always offer the most navigation options for all devices.

What do you think about PIP?

Please spare a couple of minutes to [give us some feedback on PIP](#) by participating in our SurveyMonkey.

Zipping attachments

Please note that, until we have confirmed that our [data sharing agreement](#) is in force, there is no need to use Zip encryption for email attachment and we will not use Zip encryption for attachments we email to employers.

Pensions Fora

As the December Fora were attended by over 40 employer representatives, we plan to run them twice a year.

Continually updated guidance for employers is available from the [Employers area](#) of our website.

Forms

We have amended our [Opt out form](#) to make it clear that a refund is not possible if a multi-post holder does not want a refund from all their posts.

For reference

The LGPC has issued **Bulletin 166**, on its new regs website at: <http://www.scotlqpsregs.org/bulletins/etc/bulletins.php>

166 trails a likely change of practice for CETV divorce calculations as a result of a Supreme court ruling on the [McDonald](#) case.